4 Conclusion

4 Conclusion

Learning difficulties are developmental. A developmental framework is therefore essential for understanding how learning difficulties impact on future mental capital and wellbeing. A learning difficulty affects learning *trajectories* throughout the lifespan. As an example, Farrington¹⁵² points out that the anti-social child has the potential to become the anti-social teenager, who is at risk of becoming the anti-social adult who is at risk of producing another anti-social child. Across learning difficulties, more research into underlying mechanisms is needed, so that developmental trajectories are better understood and consequently more effective interventions can be developed. For example, recent research in cognitive neuroscience is revealing how differences in subtle aspects of very basic sensory processes such as seeing and hearing affect the cognitive trajectories important for high-level processes such as reading and mathematics.

Developmental trajectories are easier to intercept than to reverse. Initial differences between children may be small. Yet even a small initial difference in basic sensory processing can end up having large cognitive consequences. For example, a small difference in how the brain processes auditory information may lead eventually to a specific reading difficulty. This may then have a serious impact on the development of mental capital, because reading is the key to accessing the entire educational curriculum and to later participation in the knowledge economy. As children get older, their cognitive difficulties affect their self-concept and their emotional development, with erosive effects on wellbeing and increased risk for social exclusion and unemployment. As the learning difficulty is biological in origin, it may be passed to the next generation. However, biology simply confers a risk or a vulnerability to having a particular learning difficulty. It is the environment that determines the impact of carrying certain genes and the eventual developmental trajectory.

As many learning difficulties are genetically-driven, prevalence rates are unlikely to change markedly in the future. Therefore, environmental strategies are required to reduce the impact of an inherited vulnerability to a learning difficulty. Interventions that address underlying causes early in the developmental trajectory are most likely to be effective. The earlier that learning difficulties are detected, and the earlier that interventions are put into place, the better the child's eventual mental capital and wellbeing and the more valuable their contribution to society. The principle of early detection and early intervention is therefore a starting point for consideration of possible strategies for intervention. The outcome of that analysis will be presented in the final report of the Project, to be published in October 2008.

¹⁵² Farrington (2006)

Appendix A: Overview of the work of the Foresight Project on Mental Capital and Wellbeing

The aim of the Project is to advise the Government on how to achieve the best possible mental development and mental wellbeing for everyone in the UK.

The principal parts of the Project are set out in Figure A.1 and are described below. Further information may be found on the Project website (www.foresight.gov.uk). All the Project papers and reports will also be made freely available through this website in October 2008 – either electronically or in hard copy.

Figure A.1: The principal parts of the Project



Analysis of future challenges

The starting point was to generate a vision for the size and nature of future challenges associated with mental capital and wellbeing, and to assess how the situation may change over the next 20 years. This analysis was predicated on the assumption that existing policies and expenditure remain unchanged. To make the analysis tractable, the work was divided into five broad areas, as indicated in Figure A.1. The present report documents the findings from one of these – Learning difficulties. Details of the reports of the five areas are listed in Table A.1.

Table A.I:The challenges ahead – reports of the findings			
Designation	Title	Authors	
CR-E	Mental capital through life: Future challenges	T. Kirkwood J. Bond C. May I. McKeith M.Teh	
CR-A	Learning through life: Future challenges	L. Feinstein J.Vorhaus R. Sabates	
CR-B	Mental health: Future challenges	R. Jenkins H. Meltzer P. B. Jones T. Brugha P. Bebbington M. Farrell D. Crepaz-Keay M. Knapp	
CR-C	Wellbeing and work: Future challenges	P. Dewe M. Kompier	
CR-D	Learning difficulties: Future challenges	U. Goswami	

The five areas were chosen to closely map onto the interests of important Government Departments, although it was recognised from the outset that the areas were interrelated. Therefore, consideration across the five has also been undertaken – the results of that will be reported in the final Project report.

Supporting evidence and analysis

The above analysis was informed by:

- Consideration of the underpinning science associated with each of the five areas. This was informed by approximately 80 commissioned reviews – these set out the current state-of-the-art of science in diverse fields, and also scientific developments of particular interest (Appendix B provides a full list).
- Reviews of certain socio-economic factors. These were performed when the existing literature was deemed insufficient for the purposes of the Project. In particular, these reviews addressed the relationship of the physical environment to wellbeing, and the evolving use of information and communication technologies (see Appendix B).
- Economic analysis. This has taken a broad view of the direct and indirect impacts of important issues such as specific learning difficulties and mental health problems.
- Systems analysis relating to each of the five areas (e.g. see Figures 1.2, 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3) An account of the Project systems work is being prepared in a separate report (see Appendix B; S1: Systems maps).

• The development of hypothetical future scenarios. These have been used to explore future uncertainty in the five areas (listed in Figure A.I), and to test the robustness of possible interventions. An account of the scenarios and their use within the Project will also appear in a separate report (available through <u>www.foresight.gov.uk</u>).

In addition to the above, the work also drew extensively upon the existing literature as well as several workshops and meetings with relevant organisations.

Analysis of strategic options

Having identified important challenges for the future, the Project identified and analysed possible interventions and strategic options for addressing them. Here the analysis of possible costs and benefits took a lifecourse approach, recognising that interventions affecting today's children might affect them for the rest of their lives.

Consideration has also been given to the social and ethical context within which the proposed interventions would be offered. Issues concerning ethics, governance, and public attitudes have been addressed. The results from this part of the Project are presented in the final Project report.

Stakeholder engagement

From the outset, the Project has involved a wide range of leading organisations from both the public and private sectors. The intention has been to work closely with them to develop a comprehensive plan to take forward the findings of the Project. That plan will be announced at the launch of the final Project report in October 2008. Mental Capital and Wellbeing: Making the most of ourselves in the 21st century Final Project Report



Appendix B: Structure of the Project reports and supporting papers



Mental capital through life: Future challenges

SR-EI:	Neuroscience of education	SR-EI7:	Nutrition, cognitive wellbeing and
SR-E2:	Human reward		socioeconomic status
SR-E3:	Neuroeconomics	SR-E18:	Nutrition and
SR-E4: SR-E5: SR-E6:	Cognitive reserve The adolescent brain Behavioural economics	SR-E20:	Effect of chronic stress on cognitive function through life
SR-E7:	Resilience Adolescent drug users	SR-E21:	Depression and its toll on mental capital
SR-E9:	Pharmacological cognitive	SR-E22:	Fitness and cognitive training
SR-EI0:	enhancement Stem cells in neural regeneration and	SR-E24:	Effects of exercise on cognitive function and mental capital
SR-EII:	neurogenesis Early detection of mild cognitive	SR-E25:	Technology solutions to prevent waste of mental capital
	impairment and Alzheimer's disease: An example using the	SR-E27:	Housing as a determinant of mental capital
SR-E12:	CANTAB PAL Anxiety disorders	SR-E29:	Cognitive neural prosthetics
SR-E13:	Neurocognition and social cognition in adult drug users	SR-E31:	Cellular and molecular logic of neural circuit assembly
SR-E14:	Normal cognitive ageing		
SR-E15:	Social cognition in teenagers — inclusion		
SR-EI6:	HPA axis, stress, and sleep and mood		



Learning through life: **Future challenges** SR-A2: Learning at work SR-A3: Skills nic status SR-A4: Participation in learning SR-A5: Evidence-informed principles from the Teaching and Learning ough life Research Programme and its toll **SR-A7:** Estimating the effects of learning cognitive **SR-A9:** Self-regulation and executive function xercise on SR-AI0: Lifelong learning nction and across the world SR-AII: Non-cognitive skills solutions SR-AI2: Future technology for learning



Mental health: **Future challenges**

SR-BI:	Genetics and social factors
SR-B2:	Mental health of older people
SR-B3:	Positive mental health
SR-B4:	Mental disorders in the young
SR-B5:	Prisoners
SR-B6:	The homeless
SR-B7:	Children in local

authority care SR-B8: The costs of mental

- disorders SR-B9: Serious and enduring mental illness
- SR-BIO: Personality disorders
- SR-BII: Violence
- SR-BI2: Ageing
- SR-BI3: Migrants
- SR-BI4: Substance abuse
- SR-BI5: Depression





Wellbeing and work: Future challenges		Learning Future cl
SR-CI:	Workplace stress	SR-DI:
SR-C2:	Mental wellbeing at work and productivity	SR-D2:
SR-C3:	Management style and mental wellbeing at work	SR-D3:
SR-C4:	Flexible working	SK-D4:
	arrangements and	SK-D5:
	wellbeing	SR-D7:
SR-C5:	New technology and wellbeing at work	CP D9.
SR-C6:	Stress management and wellbeing	SK-D6:
SR-C7:	Working longer	SR-D9:
SR-C8:	Leisure: the next	
	25 years	SR-DI0:
SR-C9:	Training in the	
	workplace	SR-DII:
SR-CI0	: Careers	
SR-CII: Violence at work		SR-D12:
		SR-DI3:
		1

- Learning difficulties: hallenges Specific language impairment Dyslexia Adult learning disabilities Dyscalculia Deafness Genetics and diagnosis of learning difficulty Conduct disorder and anti-social behaviour Social cognition and school exclusion Autism and autism spectrum disorders Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder New technologies and interventions Trajectories of development and learning difficulties SR-DI4: Early neural markers of learning difficulty
- SR-DI5: Childhood depression
- SR-DI6: Eating disorders

64

disturbance



S1: Syster	ns maps
------------	---------

Cross-Project papers		
SR-X2:	Science of wellbeing	
SR-X3:	Neurobiology of wellbeing	
SR-X5:	Neural circuit assembly	
DR-I:	ICT as a driver of change	
DR-2:	Physical environment and wellbeing	
ER-I:	Ethics	

- Note I: Some reference numbers were assigned to topics; however, the reports/papers were not subsequently commissioned.
- Note 2: The Project commissioned some additional "discussion papers" as referred to in the text of the final report.

These will be made available through www.foresight.gov.uk in due course.

References

Annaz, D. 2006. The development of visuo-spatial processing in children with autism, Down syndrome and Williams syndrome. PhD thesis, Birkbeck College, University of London.

Banai, K., Nicol, T., Zecker, S.G. and Kraus, N. 2005. Brainstem timing: implications for cortical processing and literacy. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 25, 9850-9857.

Baron-Cohen, S. 1995. *Mindblindness: An essay on autism and theory of mind*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Blair, R.J.R. 2008. Fine cuts of empathy and the amygdala: Dissociable deficits in psychopathy and autism. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 61, 157-70.

Blaisdell, A.P., Sawa, K., Leising, K.J. and Waldmann, M.R. 2006. Causal reasoning in rats. *Science*, 311, 1020-1022.

Bornstein, M. and Tamis-LeMonda, C.S. (1989). Maternal responsiveness and cognitive development in children. (In Bornstein, M.H. (Ed). *Maternal Responsiveness, Characteristics and Consequences*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.)

Bowlby, J. 1969. *Attachment. Vol. 1 of Attachment and Loss.* London: Hogarth Press. New York: Basic Books.

Bowlby, J. 1973. Separation: Anxiety and Anger. Vol. 2 of Attachment and Loss. London: Hogarth Press.

Braddick, O., Atkinson, J. and Wattam-Bell, J. 2003. Normal and anomalous development of visual motion processing: motion coherence and 'dorsal stream vulnerability'. *Neuropsychologia*, 41, 1769-1784.

Carlson, S.M. and Moses, L.J. 2001. Individual differences in inhibitory control and children's theory of mind. *Child Development*, 72, 1032-1053.

Castelli, F., Glaser, D.E. and Butterworth, B. 2006. Discrete and analogue quantity processing in the parietal lobe: A functional MRI study. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, 103, 4693-4698.

Chambers, C. and Mattingley, J. 2005. Neurodisruption of selective attention: Insights and implications. *Trends Cog. Sciences*, 9, 542-550.

Corriveau, K., Pasquini, E. and Goswami, U. 2007. Basic auditory processing skills and specific language impairment: A New Look at an Old Hypothesis. *J. Speech, Lang. Hear. Res.* 50, 647-666.

Dawson, G., Carver, L., Meltzoff, A.N., Panagiotides, H., McPartland, J. and Webb, S.J. 2002. Neural correlates of face and object recognition in young children with autism spectrum disorder, developmental delay, and typical development. *Child Development*, 73, 700-717.

Dehaene, S. *The number sense*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1997; Cambridge (UK): Penguin press, 1997.

Dunn, J., Brown, J. and Beardsall, L. 1991. Family talk about feeling states and children's later understanding of others' emotions. *Developmental Psychology*, 27, 448-455.

Dweck, C.S. 1999. Self-Theories: Their role in motivation, personality and development. Philadelphia: Taylor and Francis/Psychology Press.

Dweck, C.S. 2006. *Mindset: The new psychology of success*. New York: Random House.

Eden G.F. and Zeffiro, T.A. 1998. Neural systems affected in developmental dyslexia revealed by functional neuroimaging. *Neuron*, 21, 279-82.

Farrington, D.P. 2006. Childhood risk factors and risk-focused prevention. (In Maguire, M., Morgan, R. and Reiner, R. (Eds). *The Oxford Handbook of Criminology 4th edition*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.)

Feldman Barrett, L., Lindquist, K.A. and Gendron, M. 2007. Language as context for the perception of emotion. *Trends in Cognitive Science*, 11, 327-332.

Feuerstein, R. 2006. The Feuerstein's Instrumental Enrichment Program. ICELP Publications: Jerusalem, Israel.

Fonagy, P., Gergely, G., Jurist, E.L. and Target, M. 2002. Affect Regulation, Mentalization and the Development of the Self. New York: Other Press.

Fonagy, P. and Target, M. 1997. Attachment and reflective function: Their role in selforganization. *Development and Psychopathology*, 9, 679-700.

Fontaine, N., Carbonneau, R., Barker, E.D., Vitaro, F., Hébert, M., Côté, S.M., Nagin, D.S., Zoccolillo M. and Tremblay, R.E. 2008. Girls' hyperactivity and physical aggression during childhood and adjustment problems in early adulthood: A 15-year longitudinal study. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 65, 320-328.

Goswami, U. 2003. Why theories about developmental dyslexia require developmental designs. *Trends Cog. Sciences*, 7, 534-40.

Goswami, U. 2008. *Cognitive Development: The Learning Brain*. Taylor and Francis (Psychology Press).

Goswami, U., Thomson, J., Richardson, U., Stainthorp, R., Hughes, D., Rosen, S. and Scott, S.K. 2002. Amplitude envelope onsets and developmental dyslexia: A new hypothesis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.*, 99, 10911-10916.

Hämäläinen, J., Leppänen, P.H.T., Torppa, M., Müller, K. and Lyytinen, H. 2005. Detection of sound rise time by adults with dyslexia. *Brain and Language*, 94, 32-42.

Hargreaves, D. 2005. About learning: Report of the Learning Working Group. London: Demos.

Hart, B.H. and Risley, T.R. 1995. *Meaningful differences in the everyday experience of young American children*. Baltimore, USA: Paul H. Brookes.

Heckman, J. 2006. Skill formation and the economics of investing in disadvantaged children. *Science*, 312, 1900-1902.

Henderson, H.A., and Wachs, T.D. 2007. Temperament theory and the study of cognition-emotion interactions across development. *Developmental Review*, 27, 396-427.

Hughes, C. 1998. Executive function in preschoolers: Links with theory of mind and verbal ability. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 16, 233–253.

Hughes, C. and Dunn, J. 2000. Hedonism or empathy: Hard-to-manage children's moral awareness, and links with cognitive and maternal characteristics. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 18, 227-245.

Kaminski, J., Call, J. and Fischer, J. 2004. Word learning in a domestic dog: Evidence for fast mapping. *Science*, 304, 1682-1683.

McArthur, G.M., Hogben, J.H., Edwards, V.T., Heath, S.M. and Mengler, E.D. 2000. On the "specifics" of specific reading disability and specific language impairment. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines*, 41, 869-874.

McArthur, G.M. and Bishop, D.V.M. 2001. Auditory perceptual processing in people with reading and oral language impairments: Current issues and recommendations. *Dyslexia*, 7, 150-170.

Molko, N., Cachia, A., Rivière, D., Mangin, J.F., Bruandet, M., Le Bihan, D., Cohen, L. and Dehaene, S.2004. Functional and structural alterations of the intraparietal sulcus in a developmental dyscalculia of genetic origin. *Neuron*, 40, 847-858.

Ochsner, K.N and Phelps, E.A. 2007. Emerging perspectives on emotion-cognition interactions. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 11, 317-318.

Piaget, J. 1952. The Child's Conception of Number. London: Routledge Kegan Paul.

Pinel, P., Piazza, M., Le Bihan, D. and Dehaene, S. 2004. Distributed and overalpping cerebral representations of number, size, and luminance during comparative judgments. *Neuron*, 41, 1-20.

Plaisted, K., Saksida, L., Alcantara, J. and Weisblatt, E. 2003. Towards an understanding of the mechanisms of weak central coherence effects. *Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. B.*, 358, 375-386.

Plotnik, J.M., de Waal, F.B.M. and Reiss, D. 2006. Self-recognition in an Asian elephant. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 103, 17053-17057.

Richardson, U., Leppänen, P.H.T., Leiwo, M. and Lyytinen, H. 2003. Speech perception of infants with high familial risk for dyslexia differ at the age of 6 months. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, 23, 385-397.

Richardson, U., Thomson, J., Scott, S.K. and Goswami, U. 2004. Auditory processing skills and phonological representation in dyslexic children. *Dyslexia*, 10, 215-233.

Rothbart, M. K. 1991. Temperament: A developmental framework. (In Angleitner, A. and Strelau, J. (Eds). *Explorations in temperament: International perspectives on theory and measurement*, pp. 61-74. New York: Plenum.)

Rothbart, M.K., Ahadi, S.A. and Evans, D.E. 2000. Temperament and personality: Origins and outcomes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 78, 122-135.

Rutter, M. 2006. Is Sure Start an effective preventive intervention? *Child and Adolescent Mental Health*, 11, 135-141.

Schneider, W. and Lockl, K. 2002. The development of metacognitive knowledge in children and adolescents. (In Perfect, T. and Schwartz, B. (Eds). *Applied Metacogntion*, pp. 224-247. Cambridge UK: Cambridge University Press.)

Schultz, D., Izard, C.E. and Bear, G. 2004. Children's emotion processing: Relations to emotionality and aggression. *Development and Psychopathology*, 16, 371-387.

Stein, J.F. and Fowler, M.S. 1981. Visual dyslexia. *Trends Neurosci.* 4, 77–80.

Sugden, D.A. and Chambers, M.E. 2005. (Eds). *Children with Developmental Co-ordination Disorder*. London: Whurr Publishers.

Symons, D.K. 2004. Mental state discourse, theory of mind, and the internalization of self-other understanding. *Developmental Review*, 24, 159-188.

Tallal, P. 2004. Improving language and literacy is a matter of time. *Nature Reviews Neurosciences*, 5, 721-728.

Thelen, E. 2000. Grounded in the world: Developmental origins of the embodied mind. *Infancy*, 1, 3-28.

Vuilleumier, P. 2005. How brains beware: Neural mechanisms of emotional attention. *Trends. Cog. Sciences*, 9, 585-594.

Vygotsky, L. 1978. Mind in Society. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Wellman, H.M. 2002. Understanding the psychological world: Developing a theory of mind. (In Goswami, U. (Ed). *Handbook of Childhood Cognitive Development*, pp. 167-187. Oxford: Blackwell.)

Whitebread, D., Coltman, P. and Anderson, H. 2005. *The C.IND.LE Project: Supporting young children in becoming self-regulated learners*. Double CD training pack. Available from Faculty of Education, University of Cambridge: <u>http://www.educ.cam.ac.uk/</u><u>research/pastprojects/cindle/</u>

Woolfe, T., Want, S. and Siegal, M. 2002. Signposts to development: Theory of mind in deaf children. *Child Development*, 73, 768-778.

This review has been commissioned as part of the UK Government's Foresight Project, Mental Capital and Wellbeing. The views expressed do not represent the policy of any Government or organisation. All the reports and papers produced by the Foresight Mental Capital and Wellbeing Project may be downloaded from the Foresight website (<u>www.foresight.gov.uk</u>). Requests for hard copies may be made through this website.

Printed in the UK on recycled paper with a minimum HMSO score of 75 First published September 2008. The Government Office for Science. © Crown copyright. URN 101-08-Fo/on.